

Introduction to Radio Astronomy

Divya Oberoi div@ncra.tifr.res.in National Centre for Radio Astrophysics Tata Institute of Fundamental Research

Astronomy: A personal perspective

- The only source of information the tiny amounts of radiation incident on the telescopes
 - You can only 'observe' not 'experiment'
 - Observations + laws of physics + logic
- Telescopes tend to be marvelous feats of engineering
 - The most detailed characterisation of light possible
 - Measure very faint signals with very high accuracy
- Detective work the art and science of logical deduction
- Over the years we have learnt an amazing amount about our grand universe from analysing a minuscule amount of light which happens reach our vantage point.
- Important lessons in humility and unity The Pale Blue Dot



Andromeda: Our nearest galactic neighbour



Image credits: Radio: <u>WSRT/R. Braun</u>; Infrared:<u>NASA/Spitzer/K. Gordon</u>; Visible: <u>Robert Gendler</u>; Ultraviolet: <u>NASA/GALEX</u>; X-ray: <u>ESA/XMM/W. Pietsch</u>



What Makes it to the Earth's Surface





What Makes it to the Earth's Surface





Karl Jansky: The Birth of Radio Astronomy



World's first radio telescope (20.5 MHz, circa 1936)



Beam size and Resolution

- Size of the main lobe in radians $\sim \lambda/D$
- $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ is the wavelength
- D is the diameter
- Better resolution require
 - Shorter wavelength (higher frequency)
 - Bigger telescopes





Radio Telescope: Basics

• Like your satellite dish... only more challenging :

Celestial radio signals are VERY weak (& there is corruption due to noise !); unit of flux used is : $1 \text{ Jy} = 10^{-26} \text{ W m}^{-2} \text{ Hz}^{-1}$

- Input radio power into a typical telescope is ~ -100 dBm ! (would take 1000 years of continuous operation to collect 1 milliJoule of energy !!)
- For high sensitivity (to see faint sources out to the distant reaches of the Universe) :
 - large dishes (several 10s of metres in diameter)
 - high quality, low noise electronics in the receivers
 - large bandwidths of observation
 - long integration times



A radio telescope reflects radio waves to a focus at the antenna. Because radio wavelengths are very large, the radio dish must be very large.

What a variety!



f ~ 100 GHz, λ ~ 0.003 m Diameter = 12 m Surface: σ = 25 µm Pointing: $\Delta \theta$ = 0.6 arcsec Carbon fiber & invar reflector Solid and heavy support members Pointing meteorology structure





f ~ 1 GHz, λ ~ 0.3 m Diameter = 45 m Surface: σ = 5 mm Pointing: $\Delta \theta$ = 1 arcmin Wire mesh reflector Light weight support structure No special pointing structure $\label{eq:F-0.1 GHz, $\lambda \sim 3$ m} \\ \mbox{A collection of dipoles (4x4 = 16)} \\ \mbox{No curved surface} \\ \mbox{No moving parts,} \\ \mbox{Electronic pointing} \\ \mbox{}$



inbuilt

The quest for resolution: Interferometry

- Resolution ~ λ/D
 - λ wavelength of observation
 - *D*-size of aperture (diameter of lens/mirror)
- 1arc sec resolution requires D ~2x10⁵ λ
 - $-\lambda$ = 8000 Å; D = 16 cm
 - For radio waves λ anges from 0.5 mm to 10 km \rightarrow D ~100 m to ~2x10³ km
- Impossible to build apertures of required dimensions and surface accuracy
- Interferometry resolutions corresponding to the separation between the elements (telescopes)



The Concept Behind an Interferometer

- The important property of a parabolic dish is that it adds parallel light rays coherently
- Parallel rays (from infinity) have equal path lengths to the focus, so they all arrive in phase
- This is still true if we remove segments of the parabola remaining rays still reach focus in phase
- Now imagine moving the remaining segments of the dish off the surface of the paraboloid
- So long as we know very precisely where the segments are located, we can delay their signals appropriately and still add them together coherently
- This, in essence, is what an interferometer does





Imaging with a lens (mirror)



It ensures that the optical path lengths from all points on a plane wavefront (perpendicular to the optical axis) to the focal point are the same.



A more sophisticated perspective

Mathematically, a lens performs a Fourier Transform of the incident wavefront

 $\mathsf{E}(\mathsf{x},\mathsf{y}) \leftrightarrow \mathsf{E}'(\theta,\phi)$

A characteristic of optical imaging systems

Transfer function / Point source response / Point spread function (PSF) - Airy pattern

• Resolution = 1.22 λ /D





Imaging with an *unfilled* aperture





Young's double slit experiment





Two element inteferometer





Baselines and uv plane



Sky response of a baseline



Cos 2π(ul + vm); u,v – components of the baseline; l,m – coordinates in image plane



An N element interferometer

- 'Baselines' from N elements N(N-1)/2
- Each of these will lead to a 'fringe' with different orientation and spacing
- The final response of the interferometer will be the superposition of fringes from all the baselines







Courtesy David J. Vilner, Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, USA

21

3 Antennas





Courtesy David J. Vilner, Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, USA

5 Antennas



Courtesy David J. Vilner, Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, USA

24

8 Antennas





Courtesy David J. Vilner, Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, USA

Synthesis imaging





VLA - 27 antennas \Rightarrow 351 baselines GMRT - 30 antennas \Rightarrow 435 baselines MWA - 128 elements \Rightarrow 8,128 baselines



8 Antennas x 30 samples



Courtesy David J. Vilner, Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, USA

29

8 Antennas x 480 samples



Courtesy David J. Vilner, Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, USA

Visibility – V(u,v)

The fundamental Radio Astronomy measurable

$$V_{ij}(u, v, t, \Delta t, \nu, \Delta \nu) = \langle V_i(..., t, ...)V_j(..., t + \tau, ...) \rangle = \frac{\nu}{2} Cos(\omega \tau)$$

van Cittert Zernike Theorem
V(u,v) is 2D Fourier Transfo
Brightness distribution B(θ,q)

(T(x,y) in the following slides)

- Incoherent source,
- Small field of view
- Far-field



τ72



Rayleigh-Jeans Law and Brightness Temperature

$$B_{\nu}(T) = \frac{2 \ h\nu^3}{c^2} \frac{1}{e^{h\nu/k_B T} - 1} \ \text{W} \ \text{m}^{-2} \ \text{Hz}^{-1} \ \text{sr}^{-1}$$

Planck's law

$$B_{\lambda}(T) = \frac{2 \ hc^2}{\lambda^5} \frac{1}{e^{hc/k_B\lambda T} - 1} W \ m^2 \ Hz^1 \ \mathrm{sr}^{-1}$$

At radio wavelengths

$$\frac{h\nu}{k_BT} << 1$$

In this regime, the Plank's law reduces to the Rayleigh- $B_{\nu}(T)=\frac{2\ k_B(T)}{\lambda^2}$ Jeans Law



Visibilities

- each V(u,v) contains information on T(x,y) everywhere, not just at a given (x,y) coordinate or within a given subregion
- V(u,v) is a complex quantity
 - visibility expressed as (real, imaginary) or (amplitude, phase)





Courtesy David J. Vilner, Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, USA

Example 2D Fourier Transform Pairs



narrow features transform into wide features (and vice-versa)

7



Courtesy David J. Vilner, Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, USA

Example 2D Fourier Transform Pairs

T(x,y)



Bessel

disk



sharp edges result in many high spatial frequencies



Courtesy David J. Vilner, Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, USA

8

Amplitude and Phase

- amplitude tells "how much" of a certain spatial frequency
- phase tells "where" this component is located





Courtesy David J. Vilner, Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, USA

9

The mathematical basis

 Brightness distribution in the sky is Fourier transform of the Visibilities

 $\mathsf{B}(\theta, \varphi) \leftrightarrow \mathsf{V}(\mathsf{u}, \mathsf{v})$

V(u,v) – The quantity measured by a baseline (amplitude, phase / real, imaginary)

• In the uv-plane, we measure visibilities only at a few places i.e. we have a sampling function

 $S(u,v) = \Sigma_k (u_k, v_k)$

 Point source response of an interferometer (PSF) is Fourier transform of S(u,v). It is also known as Point-Spread-Function or Dirty Beam

 $\mathsf{P}(\theta, \varphi) \leftrightarrow \mathsf{S}(\mathsf{u}, \mathsf{v})$



Putting it all together...

- The outcome of any measurement is the convolution of
 - The true measurable the sky brightness $B(heta,\phi)$
 - The response function of the instrument (PSF)
- Referred to as the *Dirty image*
 - FT of the measured visibilities
 - Convolution of the PSF and the true sky brightness distribution
- To get true sky brightness distribution, one needs to – 'deconvolve' the PSF from the dirty image
 - 'calibrate' out the antenna response



Radio Telescopes: Interferometers



VLA, 27 dishes, 25 m dia, 35 km bl



ALMA, 66 dishes, 12 & 7 m dia, 16 km bl



ATCA, 6 dishes, 22 m dia, 6 km bl



WSRT, 14 dishes, 25 m dia, 3 km



VLBI, many antenna around the world, including a satellite



LOFAR, 48 stations, ~50 m dia, 100s of km bl

Giant Metrewave Radio Telescope

- GMRT is a world class facility for studying astrophysical phenomena at low radio frequencies (150 to 1450 MHz)
- Designed and built primarily by NCRA, during the 1990s.
- Array telescope consisting of 30 antennas of 45 metres diameter, operating at metre wavelengths -- the largest in the world at these frequencies



A real life example

- The Very Large Array (VLA)
- 8.43 GHz (λ = 3.56cm)
- 3C268.4

 Data courtesy Colin Lonsdale, MIT Haystack Observatory



Image courtesy NRAO/AUI



Array configuration and *uv* coverage





The interferometer response function Point Spread Function





The measured cross-correlations

A typical FM radio station ~0.1 W Hz⁻¹ placed at the distance of the Sun (1.5x10⁸ km) \Rightarrow ~35 Jy at Earth

VLA sensitivity at 8 GHz ~45x10⁻⁶ Jy (10 min, 86 MHz)

In 10 min VLA can detect a source as strong as a typical FM station ~88 AU away!

 $1 \text{ Jy} = 10^{-26} \text{ W} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ Hz}^{-1}$





The FT of *gridded* visibilities

The dirty map

Convolution of the PSF with the Brightness distribution

Log scale





The problem of deconvolution

- The measurements from any instrument are really the *convolution* of the *transfer function* of the instrument and the input signal.
- In order to figure out the true input signal, it is necessary to deconvolve the transfer function from the measurements
- Radio Astronomy solutions
 - CLEAN algorithm(s)
 - Maximum Entropy Method(s)



The CLEANed map

Actually, CLEANed and Self-calibrated map

- ~50,000 Clean iterations
- ~4000 Clean components
- Dynamic range ~5000
- Noise $\sim 30 \mu$ Jy/beam







Radio Analog of Dark Sky Problem



VDL to add it as of exploit other depends on the provide the Table of Propose libration. The object of explosite states are shall used the Table is seen in the entry one effort departs. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.

30GHz

1554 - 61 254

150A4 = 122.Activity

Population Density



The Square Kilometre Array

- The SKA is the most ambitious Radio Astronomy project ever attempted
- 1 square km (1,000,000 m²) collecting area (~30 x GMRT!)
 ⇒ ~3000 small sized antennas, with larger field of view
- High resolution ⇒ antennas spread out over distances up to 3000 km, but connected in real-time (by optical fiber)
- Wide frequency range: 70 MHz 10 GHz
- Location : Australia AND South Africa (radio quiet regions, far away from human habitat)
- Cutting edge science in all frontline areas
- SKA Phase-1 construction phase just started completion expected by 2027.



Radio telescope sensitivities over the years SKA will be 50x better than today's best !



The challenges and opportunities

- Characteristics of new instruments
 - 1-2 orders of magnitude improvements in sensitivity and imaging fidelity
 - Gather more detailed and higher SN information about the sky
 - Ability to solve more challenging astrophysics problems
 - Assumptions/approximations made in most of the present analysis no longer valid
 - Require more sophisticated analysis algorithms
 - Higher sensitivity => need to reduce 'systematics' in the analysis
 - Requires deeper understanding of the instrument, the sky and the analysis procedures
- Not only will they enable new and exciting science, the process of getting to the new science requires really exciting research in its own right



References

- Radio Astronomy, J. D. Kraus, 1986, Cygnus-Quasar Books, Powell, Ohio
- Tools of Radio Astronomy, 2nd edition, 1996, K. Rohlfs and T. L. Wilson, Springer-Verlag, Berlin
- Interferometry and Synthesis in Radio Astronomy A. R. Thompson, J. M. Moran and G. W. Swenson, 3rd Edition, 2017, Wiley Interscience, New York (https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-3-319-44431-4)
- Synthesis Imaging in Radio Astronomy II, Ed. G. B. Taylor, C. L. Carilli and R. A. Perley, 1999, Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, Vol 180, San Francisco
- Low Frequency Radio Astronomy, 3rd Ed., Eds. Chengalur, Gupta and Dwarkanath



Thanks

Questions

